

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/646,221	Applicant(s) PATTEN ET AL.	
	Examiner FRANK W. LU	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) FRANK W. LU.

(2) Ms. Sharon Crane (Reg. No. 36,113).

Date of Interview: 6 January 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments.*

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
275

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: After the decision made by THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3 pm

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Crane agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank W Lu /
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)